

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DREW J. RIBAR,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEVADA EX. REL.  
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS, CARSON CITY AND  
ITS SHERIFFS OFFICE, CARSON  
CITY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE,  
CARSON CITY MANAGERS OFFICE,  
FERNANDEIS FRAZAIER IN HIS  
OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS WARDEN OF  
NORTHERN NEVADA  
CORRECTIONAL, AARON RYDER IN  
HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS AN  
OFFICER OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT  
OF CORRECTIONS, ROBERT SMITH  
IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS AN  
OFFICER OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT  
OF CORRECTIONS, JASON BUENO  
IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS AN  
OFFICER OF CARSON CITY  
SHERIFF, SEAN PALAMAR RYDER IN  
HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS AN  
OFFICER OF CARSON CITY  
SHERIFF, TYSON DARIN LEAGUE  
RYDER IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY  
AS AN OFFICER OF CARSON CITY  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY, JAMES  
DZURENDA (DIRECTOR NEVADA  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS),  
JASON D. WOODBURY (CARSON  
CITY DISTRICT ATTORNEY),  
KENNETH T. FURLONG IN HIS  
CAPACITY AS SHERIFF CARSON  
CITY, NV, OFFICER/DEPUTY/J. DOE  
1-99,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:24-cv-00103-ART-CLB

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND  
COMPLAINT (ECF No. 43)

On February 27, 2025, the Court granted Plaintiff leave to file a motion to amend his complaint by March 29, 2025. (ECF No. 41.) Plaintiff timely filed a motion to amend with his proposed first amended complaint attached. (ECF No. 43.)

1 It is therefore ordered that Plaintiff's motion for leave to file an amended  
2 complaint (ECF No. 43) is GRANTED.

3 It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court FILE ECF No. 43-1 as  
4 Plaintiff's "First Amended Complaint," along with the accompanying exhibits at  
5 ECF No. 43-2 through 43-9.

6 It is further ordered that Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint is the  
7 operative complaint in this action.

8 It is further ordered that Defendants' motion for summary judgment (ECF  
9 No. 29) is DENIED without prejudice and with leave to re-file in light of the new  
10 operative complaint in this action.

11 It is further ordered that Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a sur-reply to  
12 Defendant's motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 33) is DENIED AS MOOT.

13 It is further ordered that Plaintiff's motion to rescind (ECF No. 37) is  
14 DENIED AS MOOT.

15  
16 Dated this 1<sup>st</sup> day of May 2025.

17  
18 

19 ANNE R. TRAUM  
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28